

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,569	02/24/2004	Samuli Pietila	944-001.125	2522
	7590 04/04/200 OLA VAN DER SLU	EXAMINER		
ADOLPHSON,	LLP	TRAN, KHAI		
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONROE, CT		2611		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTUS		04/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

1,
\sim

Office Action Summary 10/786,569		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BY HICHEVER IS ADDITIONAL TO THE WAS ADDITIONAL TO	Office Action Comments	10/786,569	PIETILA ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(). In covers, however, may raryly be timely litted. 1 If NO period for reply is produced above, the maximum situations period will apply and will explay SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1 Fallurs to reply within the set or retended period for reply is produced above, the maximum situations period will apply and will explay SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1 Fallurs to reply within the set or retended period for reply is produced period for reply is produced above, the maximum situations period will apply and will explay SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the produced will apply and will explay SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 2 Fallurs to reply within the set or retended period for reply is produced above. The mailing date of this communication, when if the produced are set of the produced and period of the period of the produced and period of the produced and period of the produced and period of the period of the period of the period of the period of this communication. 1 Status 1 Status 1 Status 1 Status 1 Status 2 Status	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - after 50X (8) MONTHS from the railing date of this communication. - in the provided reply is specified some, the maximum statutory period wile graph and will explicit State (700 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - in No period creply is specified some, the maximum statutory period wile graph and will explicit State (700 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - in No period creply is specified state on the communication. - in No period creply is specified state of period state of the communication. - in No period creply is specified state of period state of the communication. - in No period creply is specified state of period state of the specified in 5 section is period. - in No period creply in North State of the specified on 100 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it truly field, may reduce this year of the specified on 100 MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication, even it truly field may reduce this year of the specified on 100 MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication, even it truly field may reduce this year of the specified on 100 MONTHS from the specified of the year of the specified on 100 MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication, even it truly field may reduce the year of the period of the period state of the period state of the specified on 100 MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication is non-final. - States - Disposition of Claims - Application of the above claim(s) is larger application. - Application Papers - States and the period of the period o							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E-behanisor of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1136(a). In a ownth, however, may anyly be finely lifed after SIX (9) MONTIS from the mailing date of this communication of 17 CFR 1136(b). In a ownth ownth, and the state of 18 CFR 1136(b) MONTIS from the mailing date of this communication of 18 CFR 1136(b) MONTIS from the mailing date of this communication of 18 CFR 1136(b) MONTIS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search part to the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search part to the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search part to the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search part of the communication. 1) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 August 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 49) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,13,27,29,31,34 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-12,14-26,28,32 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 2-12,14-26,28,32 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,13,27,29,31,34 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-12,14-26,28,32 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ccepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) All b) Some *c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Status						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,13,27,29,31,34 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-12,14-26,28,32 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 2-12,14-26,28,32 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 2-12,14-26,28,32 and 33 is/are objected to poly the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Au	<u>ıgust 2006</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
Algorithms 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 113.27.29.31.34 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Discobures Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 3) Information Discobures Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) Other:	3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4a) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Authority Authority Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail D	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 shetts. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 shetts.	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,13,27,29,31,34 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-12,14-26,28,32 and 33 is/are objected to. 						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 shetts. 6) Other:	Application Papers	. f	, i				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 shetts. 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☐ Other:	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the correction Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 shetts. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 shetts. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 shetts. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Attachment(s)						
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 shetts.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/786,569

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 13, 27, 29 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heidari-Bateni et al (U.S. 6,618,434) (hereinafter as Heidari-Bateni).

Regarding claim 1, Heidari-Bateni discloses a multimode spread spectrum receiver, comprising: an antenna, responsive to a radio frequency signal containing at least two types of code division multiple access (CDMA) signals, for providing a radio frequency electrical signal; a preprocessor, responsive to the frequency electrical signal, for providing a digital signal (see col. 5, lines 21-39, showing the network interface 5 is typically operably connected to an antenna (not separately illustrated in FIG. 1), and includes functions such as analog-to-digital (A/D) conversion, filtering, and other intermediate frequency processing recited as a preprocessor of the claim providing a baseband, digital input signal to the adaptive multimode rake fingers 20); at least one multimode receiving channel block, responsive to the digital signal and selecting, based on a predetermined selection criteria, one of at least two types of coding corresponding to one of the further processing of the digital signal by the at

Application/Control Number: 10/786,569

Art Unit: 2611

least one multimode receiving block using a shared circuitry operation (the preferred multimode rake receiver of the present invention provides multiple modes of operation, a system acquisition mode, a traffic mode, and an idle mode, and see col. 21, lines 27-39).

Claims 13, 29 are similar to claim 1. Therefore, claims 13, 29 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Regarding claim 27, a use of a multimode global navigation satellite system receiver is inherent in the mobile communication system as taught by Heidari-Bateni.

Claims 34-35 are similar to claim 1. Therefore, claims 34-35 are rejected under as similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/786,569

Art Unit: 2611

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heidari-Bateni et al (U.S. 6,618,434) (hereinafter as Heidari-Bateni).

Regarding claim 31, Heidari-Bateni fails to disclose wherein the multimode receiving module is removable from the multimode spread spectrum receiver. However, if the multimode receiving module is broken, it can be replaced or removed from the multimode spread spectrum receiver with a new one. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill the art at the time the invention was made to remove the multimode receiving module from Heidari-Bateni's multimode spread spectrum receiver for repairing a problem without replacing whole the multimode spread spectrum receiver.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-12, 14-26, 28, 32-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pietila et al (US 2006/0140254 a1) disclose a multi-path detection method for CDMA receivers.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,569 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAY PATEL can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wonavaryth

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2611